

**SUPREME COURT OF SOUTH DAKOTA  
SUMMARY DISPOSITIONS  
SEPTEMBER 2014**

Pursuant to SDCL 15-26A-87.1(A), (B), (C), and (D), the Supreme Court may, sua sponte, enter an order or memorandum opinion summarily affirming or reversing the judgment or order of the trial court in actions wherein the criteria as required by those sections are clearly met.

TITLE	DOCKET NUMBER	DATE OF DECISION	DISPOSITION
Crawford v. Crawford	26945	9-2-14	Affirmed (Eklund)
Eliason v. Eliason	26924	9-2-14	Affirmed (Eckrich)
Estate of Houghton	26836	9-2-14	Affirmed (Portra)
Waldo v. Emily	27004	9-2-14	Affirmed (Trimble)
Padgett v. Houser et al.	26976	9-2-14	Affirmed (Barnett)
Eiler v. Avera McKennan Hospital et al.	26868	9-2-14	Affirmed (Houwman)
State v. Rose	26952	9-2-14	Affirmed (Foley)
State v. Fowler	26898	9-2-14	Affirmed (Gering)
State v. Ross	26856	9-2-14	Affirmed (Macy)
State v. Roy	26906	9-2-14	Affirmed (Brown)
State v. Libby	26912	9-2-14	Affirmed (Eklund)
Scott v. Weber	26930	9-2-14	Affirmed (Gering)
State v. Hart	26880 26881	9-2-14	Affirmed (Bjorkman)
Interest of T.W. and T.W.	27032	9-8-14	Affirmed (Pfeifle)
Interest of R.L.L., F.G.A., A.H., T.J.V. and B.D.V.	27027/ 27035	9-18-14	Affirmed (Eckrich)