

STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES

The issues presented by Appellant are:

1. Whether the Contract Between the Parties was Unit Priced, or Fixed Price, Lump Sum?

The trial court held that the contract was a fixed price, lump sum contract.

2. Whether Contract Change Order 02-Final was for Extra Work, or Additional Unit Priced Work Necessary to Perform the Contract?

The trial court did not address or rule upon this issue, because it was not presented to it.

3. Whether the Trial Court Erred by Denying Prunty's Summary Judgment Motion.

The Trial Court Denied Prunty's Summary Judgment Motion.

4. Whether Genuine Issues of Material Fact Precluded Summary Judgment for the City.

The Trial Court Granted the City's Motion for Summary Judgment.

5. Whether the Court Erred in Denying the City's Motion for Sanctions.

The Trial Court denied the City's Motion for Sanctions.