

II. STATEMENT OF ISSUES**ISSUE 1: Suppression of Evidence Seized with invalid warrant.**

Did the trial court err in refusing to suppress the evidence seized with an invalid warrant, finding that a social guest was not a relationship worthy of public recognition?

ISSUE 2: Suppression of warrantless seizure.

Did the trial court err in refusing to suppress bodily fluids evidence forcibly seized without a warrant and over the refusal of Appellant?

ISSUE 3 Continuance/Rehearing.

Did the trial court err in refusing to grant a continuance or to rehear the suppression motion when the State offered evidence from a witness not previously disclosed to Appellant who offered false or misleading testimony when evidence of such was offered to the Court?

ISSUE 4 Due Process - Lenity.

Did the court err in refusing to submit the misdemeanor ingestion issue when the evidence was insufficient to support the conviction for Possession of Methamphetamines.