

STATEMENT OF LEGAL ISSUES

'APPELLANT'S ISSUE ON APPEAL:

1. SINCE IT WAS REASONABLY EXPECTED THAT NORTON WOULD SKI TO CARRY OUT HER JOB DUTIES WHILE ON A SKI ACTIVITY TRIP SINCE NORTON AS A CONTRACT BUS DRIVER EMPLOYEE OF SCHOOL WAS REQUIRED BY HER JOB DESCRIPTION AND BUS DRIVER'S CONTRACT TO EXERCISE ALL CARE IN PROTECTING CHILDREN FROM INJURY, EXPOSURE AND HARASSMENT AS ONE OF MANY GENERAL OBLIGATIONS, AND ON PREVIOUS ACTIVITY BUS TRIPS HAD SUPERVISED CHILDREN DURING FREE TIME AS FULFILLMENT OF HER DUTIES WAS IT CLEARLY ERRONEOUS AND AN ERROR OF LAW FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR TO ENTER FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER DETERMINING THAT NORTON DID NOT SUSTAIN AN INJURY ARISING OUT OF AND IN THE COURSE OF HER EMPLOYMENT AND WAS NOT ENTITLED TO WORKER'S COMPENSATION BENEFITS AS AFFIRMED BY THE CIRCUIT COURT, THUS RESULTING IN SUBSTANTIAL RIGHTS OF THE NORTON HAVING BEEN PREJUDICED?

An abbreviated issue statement would be:

DID NORTON SUSTAIN A WORK-RELATED INJURY?

The Trial Court in its FINAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT OF AFFIRMANCE adopted and affirmed the Findings and Conclusions and Order of the Department of Labor denying worker's compensation benefits to Norton.