Wednesday, November 16, 2011 10:00 A.M.

NO. 2

#25894

LOREN POURIER d/b/a MUDDY CREEK
OIL AND GAS, INC. and MUDDY
CREEK OIL AND GAS, INC.
Claimants and Appellees,

vs.

SOUTH DAKOTA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE AND REGULATION,

Respondent and Appellants.

Mr. John P. Guhin Assistant Attorney General 1302 E Hwy 14 Ste 1 Pierre SD 57501-8501 Ph: 605-773-3215

Ms. Vanya S. Hogen
Ms. Jessica Intermill
Jacobson, Buffalo, Magnuson,
Anderson & Hogen, P.C.
335 Atrium Office Building
1295 Bandana Boulevard
St. Paul MN 55108
Ph: 651-644-4710

The Honorable John Brown Sixth Judicial Circuit Hughes County (FOR APPELLANTS)

(FOR APPELLEES)

(CIV 99-196)

STATEMENT OF ISSUE

WHETHER THE POSITION TAKEN BY THE DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE AND REGULATION IN THE UNDERLYING POURIER LITIGATION WAS "SUBSTANTIALLY JUSTIFIED?"

The circuit court found that the position taken by the Department of Revenue and Regulation (DORR) in the underlying Pourier litigation was not "substantially justified."

Pourier v. South Dakota Dept. of Revenue (Pourier I), 2003 S.D. 21, 658 N.W.2d 395.

Pourier v. South Dakota Dept. of Revenue (Pourier II), 2004
S.D. 3, 674 N.W.2d 314

Northern States Power Company v. South Dakota Department of Revenue, 1998 S.D. 57, 578 N.W.2d 579

Farmer v. South Dakota Department of Revenue and Regulation, 2010 S.D. 35, 781 N.W.2d 655

SDCL 10-59-34

4 U.S.C. § 104 (Hayden-Cartwright Act)