

ISSUES

1. Were Charles Wilson's accounts, written and oral, of his injury consistent and credible?

The Department of Labor's Administrative Law Judge held they were not.

- *Vollmer v. Wal-Mart Store*, 2007 SD 25, 729 N.W.2d 377.

2. Were Charles Wilson's accounts of his injuries consistent with other credible written and live testimony?

The Administrative Law Judge held they were not.

- *Vollmer v. Wal-Mart Store*, 2007 SD 25, 729 N.W.2d 377.

3. Was it appropriate to tax costs against Charles Wilson?

The Administrative Law Judge held it was.

- *Wilcox v. City of Winner*, 446 N.W.2d 772 (S.D. 1989).