SUPREME COURT STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA FILED

IN THE SUPREME COURT

OF THE

SEP 0 2 2025

STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

Shif A Jourson Legal

LINNEA CAROL BU Plair	ULYCA, ntiff and Appellee,)	ORDER DIRECTING ISSUANCE JUDGMENT OF REVERSAL	OF
vs.)	#30975	
CASEY RAY BULYO	CA ndant and Appellant.)))		

The Court having, pursuant to SDCL 15-26A-87.1(C), considered all of the briefs filed in the above-entitled matter, together with the appeal record, and having concluded that the order from which appeal is taken should be reversed:

The record shows that the circuit court failed to comply with SDCL chapter 25-7 by denying the motion to modify a child support order modifiable pursuant to SDCL 25-7-6.13 without determining the support obligation based upon the parties' current income. The circuit court was required by SDCL 25-7-6.2 to determine the current support obligation of the modifiable order by calculating the net income of the parties under SDCL 25-7-6.3, including the profits and losses from any business under SDCL 25-7-6.6, and any imputed income under SDCL 25-7-6.26 when a parent "fails to produce sufficient proof of income." See also, Kauth v. Bartlett, 2008 S.D. 20, ¶ 13, 746 N.W.2d 747, 751 ("[T]he initial step is to determine the current net income of the parties and scheduled support

#30975, Order

amount."); Condron v. Condron, 2024 S.D. 43, ¶ 12, 10 N.W.3d 213, 217 ("The child support is then allocated between . . . both parents in proportion to their relative [net monthly] incomes, with the payment being made by the non-custodial parent to the custodial parent.").

After considering the briefing and the record, the Court concludes that the circuit court abused its discretion in failing to determine the current income of the parties, consider the needs of the children, including daycare, and calculate child support as set forth within SDCL chapter 25-7. The case is reversed and rémanded for the circuit court to determine the current income of the parties and the needs of the children based upon the existing record and to calculate child support pursuant to the schedule provided in SDCL 25-7-6.2. Now, therefore, it is

ORDERED that a judgment reversing the order of the trial court be entered.

DATED at Pierre, South Dakota, this 2nd day of September, 2025.

Steven R.

BY THE COURT:

ATTEST

breme Court

PARTICIPATING: Chief Justice Steven R. Jensen and Justices Janine M. Kern, Mark E. Salter, Patricia J. DeVaney and Scott P. Myren.