

IN THE SUPREME COURT  
OF THE  
STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

SUPREME COURT  
STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA  
FILED

MAR 17 2006

*Shirley A. Johnson Long*  
Clerk

\* \* \* \*

IN THE MATTER OF THE AMENDMENT)  
SDCL 15-6-12(e) ) RULE 06-12

-----  
A hearing was held on February 16, 2006, at Pierre, South Dakota, relating to the amendment of SDCL 1-6-12(e), and the Court having considered the proposed amendment, the correspondence and oral presentations relating thereto and being fully advised in the premises, now, therefore, it is

ORDERED that SDCL 15-6-12(e) be and it is hereby amended to read in its entirety as follows:

**SDCL 15-6-12(e). Motion for more definite statement.**

If a pleading to which a responsive pleading is permitted is so vague or ambiguous that a party cannot reasonably be required to frame a responsive pleading, the party may move for a more definite statement before interposing his responsive pleading. The motion shall point out the defects complained of and the details desired. If the motion is granted and the order of the court is not obeyed within ten days after notice of the order or within such other time as the court may fix, the court may strike the pleading to which the motion was directed or make such order as it deems just.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this rule shall become effective July 1, 2006.

DATED at Pierre, South Dakota, this 17th day of March, 2006

BY THE COURT:

*David Gilbertson*

David Gilbertson, Chief Justice

ATTEST

*[Signature]*  
Clerk of the Supreme Court  
(SEAL)