IN THE SUPREME COURT

OF THE

STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

* * * *

IN THE MATTER OF THE AMENDMENT OF)
SUPREME COURT DOCKETING STATEMENT (FORM)

5, APPENDIX TO SDCL 15-26A)

NULE 97-44

A hearing having been held on September 10, 1997, at Pierre, South Dakota, relating to the amendment of Supreme Court Docketing Statement (Form 5, Appendix to SDCL 15-26A), and the Court having considered the proposed amendment, the correspondence and oral presentations relating thereto, if any, and being fully advised in the premises, now, therefore, it is

ORDERED that the Supreme Court Docketing Statement (Form 5, Appendix to SDCL 15-26A) be and it is hereby amended to read in its entirety as it is hereto attached and made a part hereof.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this rule shall become effective October 15, 1997.

DATED at Pierre, South Dakota, this 15th day of September, 1997.

BY THE OURT:

ATTEST:

Robert A. Miller, Chief Justice

Clerk of the Supreme Court

(SEAL)

SUPREME COURT STATE OF SOUTH DAKOT

SEP 1 5 1997

Aloud Brasel

IN	TH	E S	UPREME	COURT
		0	F THE	
STA	TE	OF	SOUTH	DAKOTA

v.

APPELLANT'S/APPELLEE'S DOCKETING STATEMENT

#		

SECTION	Δ
PHOLITON	120

TRIAL COURT

- 1. The circuit court from which the appeal is taken:
- 2. The county in which the action is venued at the time of appeal:
- 3. The name of the trial judge who entered the decision appealed:

PARTIES AND ATTORNEYS

4. Identify each party presently of record and the name, address, and phone number of the attorney for each party. (May be continued on an attached appendix.)

TIMELINESS OF APPEAL

(If	sect	ion 1	B is	comp	leted	by a	an a	appe	elle	ee 1	Eiling	a :	noti	ce o	f re	view	purs	uant	to SDCL	
15-26	A-22,	the	foll	owin	g que	stion	ns a	are	to	be	answe	red	as	they	may	appl	y to	the	decision	. the
appel.	lee is	s see	ekina	to !	have	revie	ewe	d.)						_						

1.	The date the filed by the	e judgment of order appealed from was signed and le trial court:		
2.	The date no each party:	tice of entry of the judgment or order was served on		
3.	State wheth	er either of the following motions was made:		
	a. Mot	ion for judgment n.o.v., SDCL 15-6-50(b):	Yes	No
	b. Mot	ion for new trial, SDCL 15-6-59:	Yes	No
		NATURE AND DISPOSITION OF CLAIMS		
	(Cor	fine responses to questions 4 through 6 to the space pro	ovided.)	
4.	the trial o	nature of each party's separate claims, counterclaims or court's disposition of each claim (e.g., court trial, judgment, default judgment, agency decision, affirmed/reverse	ry verdict,	and
5.		right may be taken only from final, appealable orders.	See SDCL 15-2	26A-3
	and 4.	Did the trial court enter a final judgment or order the resolves all of each party's individual claims, counterclaims, or cross-claims?	at Yes	No
	b.	If the trial court did not enter a final judgment or order as to each party's individual claims, counterclaims, or cross-claims, did the trial court mala determination and direct entry of judgment pursuant		
		SDCL 15-6-54(b)?	Yes	No

5.	State e	each issue se stateme	intended	d to be	presented	for revie	w. (Partie	s will n	ot be bo
	1		,						
) = ±									
Jate	ð:			2	Signature				