

**STATEMENT OF LEGAL ISSUES**

**WHETHER THE TRIAL COURT ERRED IN REFUSING TO  
INVALIDATE CERTAIN REAL PROPERTY DEEDS BASED UPON  
UNDUE INFLUENCE.**

The trial court held that Ronald did not exert undue influence upon Mary, and thus found the deeds to be valid conveyances of real property.

Most Relevant Cases:

- Black v. Gardner*, 320 N.W.2d 153 (SD 1982)
- Delany v. Delany*, 402 N.W.2d 701 (SD 1987)
- Davies v. Toms*, 75 SD 273, 63 N.W.2d 406 (1954)

**II. WHETHER RONALD H. PRINGLE BREACHED ANY FIDUCIARY  
DUTIES WITH REGARD TO THE DURABLE POWER OF ATTORNEY.**

The trial court did not find that Ronald breached his fiduciary duty with regard to the power of attorney he had over Mary.

Most Relevant Cases:

- Estate of Duebendorfer*, 2006 SD 79, 721 N.W.2d 438
- Bienash v. Moller*, 2006 SD 78, 721 N.W.2d 431
- Matter of Estate of Smith*, 481 N.W.2d 471 (SD 1992)