## STATEMENT OF LEGAL ISSUES

- 1. WHETHER THE TRIAL COURT COMMITTED REVERSIBLE ERROR BY COMMENCING THE TRIAL IN MR. TALARICO'S ABSENCE.
- 2. WHETHER THE TRIAL COURT ABUSED ITS DISCRETION IN DENYING MR. TALARICO'S MOTION FOR SUBSTITUTE COUNSEL.
- 3. WHETHER THE TRIAL COURT COMMITTED REVERSIBLE ERROR IN REFUSING TO ALLOW MR. TALARICO TO PROCEED PRO SE.
- 4. WHETHER THE TRIAL COURT COMMITTED REVERSIBLE PLAIN ERROR IN FAILING TO STRIKE THE JURY PANEL DUE TO THE PREJUDICIAL REMARKS OF A POTENTIAL JUROR.
- 5. WHETHER THE TRIAL COURT COMMITTED REVERSIBLE PLAIN ERROR IN INSTRUCTING THE JURY ON SPECIFIC INTENT.
- 6. WHETHER THE TRIAL COURT COMMITTED REVERSIBLE PLAIN ERROR IN ALLOWING MR. TALARICO'S WIFE TO TESTIFY AGAINST HIM.
- 7. WHETHER THE TRIAL COURT COMMITTED REVERSIBLE ERROR BY DENYING MR. TALARICO'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT OF ACQUITTAL.
- 8. WHETHER MR. TALARICO WAS DENIED A FAIR TRIAL BY THE INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF TRIAL COUNSEL RISING TO THE LEVEL OF PLAIN ERROR.
- 9. WHETHER MR. TALARICO WAS DENIED A FAIR TRIAL BY THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT OF THE ERRORS CONTAINED IN THE RECORD.