## Tuesday, November 15, 2011 11:00 A.M.

NO. 3

## #26012

STANLEY E. STABLER,
ROSE MARIE STABLER,
BRAD A. STABLER and
BRENDA L. STABLER,
Plaintiffs and Appellees,

vs.

FIRST STATE BANK OF ROSCOE, a South Dakota corporation and JOHN R. BEYERS,

Defendants and Appellants,

and

ARNOLD SCHURR d/b/a
SCHURRS, SCHURR FARMS, INC.,
a South Dakota corporation,
ROGER ERNST, IPSWICH STATE
BANK,

Defendants.

Mr. Roger Damgaard Mr. Sander J. Morehead Woods, Fuller, Shultz and Smith Attorneys at Law PO Box 5027 Sioux Falls SD 57117-5027 Ph: 336-3890

Mr. Lee Schoenbeck Schoenbeck Law Office Attorneys at Law PO Box 1325 Watertown SD 57201 Ph: 886-0010

Mr. Patrick Dougherty
Dougherty & Dougherty, LLP
Attorneys at Law
PO Box 2376
Sioux Falls SD 57101
Ph: 335-8586

(FOR APPELLANTS)

(FOR APPELLEES STABLERS)

(FOR APPELLEES STABLERS)

The Honorable Scott P. Myren Fifth Judicial Circuit McPherson County

(CIV 07-11)

## STATEMENT OF ISSUES

1. Whether a \$650,000 promissory note related to prebankruptcy liens executed by Brad and Brenda Stabler in favor of FSB was an invalid reaffirmation of debts discharged in their Chapter 7 bankruptcy?

The circuit court held in the affirmative.

First State Bank v. Zoss, 312 N.W.2d 127 (S.D. 1981)

Shields v. Stangler (In re Stangler), 186 B.R. 460 (Bankr. D. Minn. 1995)

Minster State Bank v. Heirholzer (In re Heirholzer), 170 B.R. 938 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio 1994)

11 U.S.C. § 524(a)(2)

11 U.S.C. § 524(c)

2. Whether a 2000 Mortgage and 2002 collateral real estate mortgage entered into by Stan and Rose Marie Stabler in favor of FSB were enforceable by Beyers, when neither the mortgages, nor the debts secured by them, were ever marked as released or satisfied, and when FSB, Beyers, and Brad and Brenda Stabler presented evidence the debts secured by the mortgages were refinanced and then eventually assigned to Beyers?

The circuit court held in the negative.

Jones v. Guaranty and Indemnity Co., 101 U.S. 622 (U.S. 1880)

Nat'l City Bank v. Abdalla, 722 N.E.2d 130 (Ohio App. 1999)

Emerson-Brantingham Implement Co. v. Ainslie, 161 N.W. 1001 (S.D. 1917)

Guaranty State Bank, Claremont, v. Russell, 225 N.W. 53 (S.D. 1929)

SDCL § 44-8-1.4

SDCL § 15-6-56(c)

3. Whether addendums to a collateral real estate mortgage assigned to Beyers were properly filed, and whether Beyers was otherwise entitled to an equitable lien based on the mortgage?

The circuit court held in the negative.

Gust v. Peoples and Enderlin State Bank, 447 N.W.2d 914 (N.D. 1989)

SDCL § 44-8-26

N.D.C.C. § 35-03-17