STATEMENT OF ISSUES AND AUTHORITIES

- I. WHETHER CONVICTING AND SENTENCING MR. JOHNSON FOR ATTEMPTED MURDER AND AGGRAVATED ASSAULT (SERIOUS BODILY INJURY) VIOLATED HIS RIGHT AGAINST DOUBLE JEOPARDY. (Blockburger v. U.S., 284 U.S. 299, (1932); State v. Dillon, 2001 SD 97; Wilcox v. Leaply, 488 N.W.2d 654, (1992)).
- II. WHETHER MR. JOHNSON WAS SUBJECTED TO CUSTODIAL INTEROGATION IN PARKER, SOUTH DAKOTA, REQUIRING THE ADMINISTERING OF MIRANDA WARNINGS. (State v. Darby, 1996 SD 127; State v. Myhre, 2001 SD 109; State v. Thompson, 1997 SD 15;)).
 - A. WHETHER MR. JOHNSON MADE A KNOWING, INTELLIGENT, AND VOLUNTARY WAIVER OF HIS MIRANDA RIGHTS. (State v. Brings Plenty, 459 N.W.2d 390, (1990); State v. Stanga, 2000 SD 129; State v. Tuttle, 2002 SD 94).
 - B. WHETHER THE INTERROGATION OF MR. JOHNSON IN SIOUX FALLS, SOUTH DAKOTA, WAS A CONTINUATION OF THE INITIAL INTERROGATION IN PARKER, AND THUS TAINTED BY AND STATEMENTS INADMISSIBLE.
 - (Missouri v. Seibert, 542 U.S. 600, (2004); State v. Helmer, 1996 SD 31).
 - C. WHETHER MR. JOHNSON'S STATEMENTS WERE VOLUNTARY. (Satter v. Solem, 458 N.W.2d 762 (SD 1990); State v. Tuttle, 2002 SD 94).
- III. WHETHER TRIAL COURT ERRED IN DENYING MR. JOHNSON THE OPPORTUNITY TO CROSS-EXAMINE KEY STATE'S WITNESS ON MOTIVE TO LIE AND BIAS. (State v. Weigers, 373 N.W.2d 1, 10 (1985)).