## WEDNESDAY, APRIL 23, 2008 10:00 A.M.

NO. 2

## #24708

OAKLEY E. ENGESSER, Petitioner and Appellee,

vs.

ROBERT DOOLEY,

Respondent and Appellant.

Ms. Sherri Sundem Wald Deputy Attorney General 1302 E Hwy 14 Ste 1 Pierre SD 57501-8501 Ph: 773-3215

(FOR APPELLANT)

(FOR APPELLEE)

Ms. Rena M. Hymans Attorney at Law Rena M. Hymans, PC 1134 Main Street Ste 1 Sturgis SD 57785

Ph: 720-9665

The Honorable Randall L. Macy (CIV 06-578) Fourth Judicial Circuit

Meade County

## STATEMENT OF LEGAL ISSUES

Ι

WHETHER THE TRIAL COURT ERRED WHEN IT DENIED RESPONDENT'S MOTIONS TO DISMISS ON PROCEDURAL GROUNDS?

The habeas court denied Respondent's motions to dismiss Petitioner's untimely, successive application.

Jenner v. Dooley, 1999 S.D. 20, 590 N.W.2d 463

<u>Ashker v. Class</u>, 534 N.W.2d 66 (S.D. 1995)

SDCL 21-27-3.2

SDCL 21-27-16.1

ΙI

WHETHER THE HABEAS COURT ERRED WHEN IT GRANTED PETITIONER RELIEF BASED ON AN INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL CLAIM REJECTED IN PETITIONER'S FIRST HABEAS PROCEEDING?

The second habeas court found trial counsel was ineffective for his strategic decision to not call two disclosed witnesses, a claim rejected by the first habeas court.

Siers v. Class, 1998 S.D. 77, 581 N.W.2d 491

Boyles v. Weber, 2004 S.D. 31, 677 N.W.2d 531

Jackson v. Weber, 2001 S.D. 136, 637 N.W.2d 19