

ISSUES ON APPEAL

1. Whether Dillon's trial counsel made errors which were so serious that he was not functioning as counsel guaranteed by the Constitution?

*The Trial Court determined that though Dillon's trial was not "tried as well as one would wish, or hope," Dillon's trial counsel was acting with sufficient competency to satisfy the Court that Dillon had adequate counsel as required under the Constitution. (SR 250, p. 9)*

2. Whether trial counsel's errors seriously prejudiced Dillon's case to the extent that they deprived him of fair trial?

*The Trial Court found that though Dillon's trial counsel clearly erred in a number of ways, most of those errors have not been shown to have in any significant way affected the outcome of the trial. (SR 250, p. 9)*