

II. STATEMENT OF LEGAL ISSUES

1. Did the trial court err when it entered its property division resulting in Pete receiving a substantially greater net property division than Lois?

The trial court entered a property division, which resulted in Pete receiving a substantially greater net property division than Lois. In addition to granting Pete a substantially greater net property division, the Court referenced a second line of credit, which there was no second line of credit. The court also included debt as a marital debt, which Pete and his counsel both agreed should not be marital debt. The court was inconsistent on the valuation dates and separated part of the personal injury settlement from the marital estate. The court also refused to consider an offset of Lois' retirement with Pete's Social Security and determined insufficient evidence was presented.