

TUESDAY, JANUARY 10, 2012
10:00 A.M.

NO. 2

#25536

In Re: POOLED ADVOCATE TRUST

Ms. Becky A. Janssen (FOR APPELLANT SD DSS)
Special Assistant Attorney General
Legal Division
Department of Social Services
700 Governors Drive
Pierre SD 57501
Ph: 773-3305

Mr. Thomas E. Simmons (FOR APPELLEE POOLED ADVOCATE TRUST)
Gunderson, Palmer, Nelson
& Ashmore
Attorneys at Law
PO Box 8045
Rapid City SD 57709
Ph: 342-1078

Mr. James W. Olson (FOR APPELLEE FIRST PREMIER BANK-TRUSTEE
OF POOLED ADVOCATE TRUST)
Wilson, Olson & Nash
Attorneys at Law
PO Box 1552
Rapid City SD 57709
Ph: 342-7090

The Honorable Jeff W. Davis (TRU 04-240)
Seventh Judicial Circuit
Pennington County

#25902

**FRED MATTHEWS and
GLADYS MATTHEWS,
Appellants,
vs.**

**SOUTH DAKOTA DEPARTMENT
OF SOCIAL SERVICES,
Appellee.**

Ms. Kristi Vetri (FOR APPELLANTS)
Attorney at Law

5005 West Main Street
Belleville IL 62226
Ph: 618-257-8563

Ms. Becky A. Janssen (FOR APPELLEE)
Special Assistant Attorney General
Legal Division
Department of Social Services
700 Governors Drive
Pierre SD 57501
Ph: 773-3305

The Honorable Janine Kern (CIV 10-345)
Seventh Judicial Circuit
Pennington County

STATEMENT OF THE ISSUE

ISSUE: WHETHER THE TRIAL COURT ERRED BY GRANTING PATI'S PETITION AND APPLICATION FOR FURTHER RELIEF ON DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND ENTERING AN ORDER BARRING THE DEPARTMENT FROM IMPOSING A MEDICAID TRANSFER PENALTY BASED ON A BENEFICIARY'S AGE AT THE TIME A BENEFICIARY FUNDS A SUB-ACCOUNT WITH THE POOLED ADVOCATE TRUST FOR THAT BENEFICIARY'S BENEFIT?

The trial court granted the relief requested in PATI's Petition and ordered that the Department is barred from imposing a Medicaid divestment penalty based on a beneficiary's age at the time a beneficiary funds a sub-account with the Pooled Advocate Trust for that beneficiary's benefit. HT 4-5; SR 401. The trial court further stated that it was refraining from issuing any specific ruling as to the Medicaid eligibility of any particular trust beneficiary because determinations on whether or not an individual qualifies for assistance under the South Dakota Medicaid Program are to be made by the Department. SR 401; 402.

Most relevant cases:

Cable v. Union County Board of County Commissioners, 2009 SD 59, 769 NW2d 817

Guardianship of Sasse, 363 NW2d 209 (SD 1985)

Keith v. Rizzuto, 212 F3d 1190 (10th Cir. 2000)

Hobbs v. Zenderman, 579 F3d 1171 (10th Cir. 2009)

Most relevant statutes:

42 USC § 1396p, ARSD 67:46:05:17

STATEMENT OF ISSUES

- I. Whether the Circuit Court erred when it rejected Appellants' arguments that the administrative law judge's conclusions were based on an error of law, specifically, that transfers into the PATI, a pooled trust, are made for less than fair-market value and therefore trigger a divestment penalty?
- II. Whether, as a matter of law, a transfer penalty period of Medicaid eligibility purposes for transfers of assets

into a pooled trust by Medicaid applicants age 65 and older is in violation of 42 USC 1396p?