

The legal issues presented in this appeal are as follows:

1. Did the trial court err in ordering mandamus when the Petitioners failed to present any competent evidence of a presently existing “proper purpose” under SDCL § 47-24-2 for examination of corporate books and records?
2. Did the trial court err in ordering production of any and all documents of WPPO without limiting the production to those records relevant to the Petitioners’ alleged “proper purpose” under SDCL § 47-24-2?
3. Did the trial court err in ordering that SDCL §47-24-2 entitles a shareholder to the production of any and all documents of a corporation when the statute itself limits the scope of documents available to a shareholder?
4. Was the Order and Writ of Mandamus issued by the trial court fatally defective and overbroad in ordering WPPO to obtain and produce documents in the possession of non-party?
5. Did the trial court err in issuing the Order and Writ of Mandamus without any limitation as to scope or time for production of corporate documents to a shareholder?